

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/785,396	MIEHER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Gordon J. Stock	2877	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** after non-final

(1) Gordon J. Stock.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Attorney Mary Olynick.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 25 January 2007

**Time:** 12:50 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The attorney mentioned that the response filed January 24, 2007 for application 10/785821 was inadvertently sent as the response of January 22, 2007 for 10/785,396. Subsequently, the response will be treated as non-responsive.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)